

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,751	08/30/2001	Dan Stoianovici	56436(71699)	8459
21874 7590 07/02/2007 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, VI X	
BOSTON, MA	02205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	3734	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

t			4		
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/943,751	STOIANOVICI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Victor X. Nguyen	3734			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION OF	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>19 <i>March</i> 2007</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for					
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26,28-36,38,39,41-46,48-54</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>26,42-45,56 and 57</u> is/are allo	withdrawn from consideration. owed. <u>nd 48-54</u> is/are rejected.	ipplication.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,35,36,43</u> is/are objected to 8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control	a) accepted or b) objected to on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyangle correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O-948) Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 09/943,751

Art Unit: 3734

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14,16-25,29-34,38,39,46,48-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Madhani et al (6,786,896) in view of Taylor et al (5,695,500).

Madhani et al disclose in figures 1-3, a device for percutaneous surgery in a soft tissue target, including: a first arm (304), a first drive mechanism (310) is coupled to the first arm and configured to translate the first arm from an initial position to any of a number of other positions from the initial position, thereby also translating the penetrating member towards the target area, where the first arm and the first drive mechanism are coupled to the manipulation device. Furthermore, the first arm is configured and arranged to rotatably support the penetrating member about the translational axis of the penetrating member, and where a second drive mechanism (302), but Madhani is silent regarding a needle arranged to cause the needle rotate about translational axis of the needle.

Taylor teaches a needle arranged to cause the needle rotate about translational axis of the needle (see fig. 9, element 241).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Madhani by constructing a needle taught by Taylor in order to

Application/Control Number: 09/943,751 Page 3

Art Unit: 3734

provide the tissue penetrating system with a relatively greater precision and smaller incisions by the needle. and where the first drive mechanism (310) includes a linear guide (inherent feature), where a second drive mechanism (302) is coupled to the penetrating member (312) and is configured to cause the penetrating member to rotate, and where the second drive mechanism comprises a gear member (see col. 12, lines 23-27) secured to the penetrating member and coupled to a motor (MO-M7)), and where a second arm (5) is coupled to the first drive mechanism (310), where the second drive mechanism is coupled to the penetrating member (312) so as to cause the penetrating member to rotate about the translational axis of the penetrating member.

## Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 26,42-45,56,57 are allowed over the art of record.

Claims 15,35,36,41,43 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/19/2007 have been fully considered but are moot in view of new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant is asked to please refer to the modified prior art rejection above where examiner addresses applicant's concerns regarding prior art rejection.

### Conclusion

Art Unit: 3734

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4699. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4697. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,751

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Victor X Nguyen Examiner

Art Unit 3734

VN 6/25/2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5